



PATENT
0459-0527P

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Brian BRUUN et al Conf.: 2451
Appl. No.: 09/720,468 Group: 3653
Filed: February 7, 2001 Examiner: D.K. SCHLAK
For: CONVEYOR SYSTEM WITH BUFFER ARRANGEMENT

LARGE ENTITY TRANSMITTAL FORM

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

July 30, 2003

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Reply to Restriction/Election Requirement in the above-identified application.

☐ The enclosed document is being transmitted via the Certificate of Mailing provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.8.

☐ Petition for _____ () month(s) extension of time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a). \$0.00 for the extension of time.

☒ No fee is required.

☐ A check in the amount of \$0.00 is enclosed.

☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2448 in the amount of \$0.00. A triplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

RECEIVED
JUL 31 2003
GROUP 3600

Appl. No. 09/720,468

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 
Joe McKinney Muncy, #32,334

PCL
KM/PCL/mlr
0459-0527P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

Attachment(s)

(Rev. 04/30/03)



PATENT
0459-0527P

#18
P. Ullman
08/11/03

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Brian BRUUN et al Conf.: 2451
Appl. No.: 09/720,468 Group: 3653
Filed: February 7, 2001 Examiner: D.K. SCHLAK
For: CONVEYOR SYSTEM WITH BUFFER ARRANGEMENT

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

July 30, 2003

Sir:

In reply to the Restriction Requirement dated June 30, 2003, the following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

RECEIVED

JUL 31 2003

GROUP 3600

REMARKS

Claims 46-90 are pending in the present application.

The Examiner has required election in the present application between:

Group I, claims 46-70, drawn to a method of sorting;

Group II, claims 71-86, drawn to an apparatus for conveying; and

Group III, claims 87-90, drawn to an apparatus for storage.